Template Scoping Document

Overview & Scrutiny Committee	
"Select Committee" Review Title:	Section 106 Agreements
Background issues to review – rationale for scrutinising this issue:	Members raised the issue of scrutinising the performance of Section 106 Agreements when they were canvased for potential Scrutiny items in February 2014. The Overview & Scrutiny Committee subsequently agreed 20 March 2014 to consider this item once the internal review that was being undertaken by the Resources Portfolio Holder had been concluded. For some time Members have been concerned regarding the way Sec.106 Agreements are administered specifically the long time period for securing commitments and for the perceived lack of transparency regarding the delivery of the community enhancements derived from the agreements.
Is the issue highlighted as one of the Council's corporate aims and objectives of the Council's draft Corporate Strategy, – 'Sharing the Dividends'? If so which one:	As would be expected, as a strategic document there are no specific references to Section 106 Legal Agreements in the Corporate Plan. However, the plan does commit to providing a quality environment and the benefits derived from Section 106 legal agreements directly enhances the amenities of the area.
Is this issue one that raises interest with the public via complaints or Members' surgeries?:	Sec 106 Agreements do not generate complaint from the wider general public or are an issue that is raised at Members' surgeries. However, the provision of amenities that are funded via the agreements are very much of interest to local residents, and it was raised as a matter of concern by Sport Stevenage, as many sports clubs, specifically cricket, hockey and rugby, have said they find the process opaque as they would like to access the potential funding but find it difficult to find out what is available and who might be eligible and the criteria for funding.
Focus of the review: (State what the review focus will be)	To be identified by the Committee at the scoping meeting. Officers and the Chair of O&S Committee have suggested the following possible Options:
	The desired outcome of the review should be that Members have assurance that the

	Council is providing good governance with regard to its decision making processes with Section 106 Agreements • Provide challenge around the on-going costs of schemes that are funded from Sec 106 Agreements, is the Council building in adequate revenue funding via commuted sums to maintain facilities? — Can this be demonstrated by documentation? • The use and availability of funds • Clarify what the Council's position is re any external groups looking to secure Sec 106 monies for related activities such as Sports Clubs equipment/facilities etc — The Council appears to have an unofficial policy that throughout the on-going period of austerity the Council's Capital Programme is supported first • How decisions are made regarding the spending of Sec 106 monies? Who decides? • Establish how effectively Officers communicate with each other re the priorities for the Council across the departments i.e. the Officer negotiating the Sec 106 wording is aware of all of the local needs/priorities — Can there be any future proofing of the agreement? • How are decisions currently published?
Timing issues: Are there any timing constraints to when the review can be carried out?	Officers will advise at the meeting if there are any timing issues to consider. The review will have to fit in with the timing of the other Select Committee review work programme items.
The Committee will meet on (provide dates if known):	Dates: Day/Month/Time/Venue – Thursday 9 October 2014, 6pm, Shimkent Room – Presentation by Officers on Sec 106s Monday 3 November 2014, 6pm, Shimkent Room – Challenge meeting – Interview witnesses
SBC Leads (list the Executive Portfolio Holders and SD's Heads of Service or other officers who should appear as witnesses):	Officers have suggested the following people: Assistant Director of Finance, Clare Fletcher Head of Planning Regeneration & Transport, Paul Pinkney Planning Development Manager, Dave Rusling

	 Borough Solicitor, Paul Froggatt Property Locum Solicitor, Peter Miller
Any <u>other witnesses</u> (external persons/critical friend)?:	To be identified by the Committee at the scoping meeting. <i>Possible options identified by officers:</i> None identified
Any other Questions Members wish to cover: Site visits and evidence gathering in the Community	To be identified by the Committee at the scoping meeting. Members will ask questions on the following areas identified above in Focus of the Review (and any other supplementary questions at the meeting). • On-going costs of the schemes that are funded from Sec 106 Agreements, is the Council building in adequate revenue funding via commuted sums to maintain facilities? – Demonstrated by documentation? • Can officers demonstrate where the Section 106 funds are allocated? • Can officers clarify what the Council's position is re any external groups looking to secure Sec 106 monies for related activities such as Sports Clubs equipment/facilities etc – (unofficial policy that the Council's Capital Programme is supported first)? • Who decides where the funding is allocated • Can officers demonstrate how they communicate with each other re the priorities for the Council across the departments i.e. the Officer negotiating the Sec 106 wording is aware of all of the local needs/priorities • Can there be any future proofing of the agreement? Equalities & Diversity Issues – Are there any E&D issues to consider in this review? Not necessary with this review
Equalities and Diversity issues: The review will consider what the relevant equalities and diversity issues	To be identified by Members at Committee

are regarding the Scrutiny subject that is being scrutinised	
<u>Constraints</u> (Issues that have been highlighted at the scoping stage but are too broad/detailed to be covered by the review):	To be identified by the Committee at the scoping meeting XXXX (These issues can be captured and dealt with via other means – Briefings/email/officer action etc)
Background Documents/data that can be provided to the review	Possible options suggested by officers: